

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JULIAN RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

E. ENLERS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-2399-JAM-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He has filed a two-page document. ECF No. 26. The first page is titled “Motion to Leave to Amend 9-10-21” and is devoid of substance or argument. *Id.* at 1. The second page is a proof of service for a “Motion to Compel Discovery.” *Id.* at 2. Defendant has opposed the motion to amend. ECF No. 27.

Plaintiff has neither included a proposed amended complaint nor stated why he seeks leave to amend his complaint. *See* E.D. Cal. L.R. 220. To the extent there is any confusion on the matter, the court notes that plaintiff is not required to amend his complaint.

////

////

////

////

1 Based on the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to amend (ECF
2 No. 26) is DENIED without prejudice.¹

3 DATED: September 3, 2021.

4 
5 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 ¹ The court also notes that it is not in receipt of any motion to compel, despite plaintiff's
proof of service purporting to have served the same.